Missouri Department of Elementary and Secondary Education Special Education District Profile

ACADEMIE LAFAYETTE (048-914)

As required by the Individuals with Disabilities Education Improvement Act of 2004, the Missouri State Performance Plan (SPP) is a plan that includes targets for student performance indicators and improvement activities designed to enable districts (regular districts, charter schools and state operated programs) and the state to meet those targets. Missouri is also required to publicly report on the performance of each local education agency (LEA) in relation to the targets established in the SPP. The State Performance Plan can be found online at: http://dese.mo.gov/special-education/state-performance-plan.

The purpose of this profile is to:

- a. Provide information to the public about the performance of districts on the SPP Indicators
- b. Address other outcome measures for students receiving special education services.

Page 1 of this profile summarizes whether the district met or did not meet the targets for each performance indicator. The remaining pages provide additional data for the SPP indicators (noted as "SPP #").

Students with disabilities are those students who qualify for special education services and who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP). Data sources are provided for each table included in this profile, however most of the data are reported by the district directly to the Department.

See the link below for the Special Education Profile Review Guide http://dese.mo.gov/sites/default/files/districtprofilereviewguide.pdf

Questions? Please contact the Special Education - Data Coordination at 573-751-7848 speddata@dese.mo.gov.

Version: September 2014 Printed: 9/23/2014

| Special Education District Profile | SPP Targets and | | ADEMIE LA | IAILI | · - · | (0+0-01+) | | | |
|--|---|---------------------------------------|-------------------|----------|-------|------------------|--|--|--|
| SPP Indicator | | | District 2013- | | | Target 13-14* | | | |
| Ear | ly Childhood Special E | ducation Data (Table A |) | | | | | | |
| ECSE children in regular EC program red | ceiving majority of services in EC | C (SPP 6A) | NA | NA | 2 | 29.00% | | | |
| ECSE children in special education sepa | rate class, school or residential | setting (SPP 6B) | NA | NA | ≤ | 32.00% | | | |
| Percent of children referred by First Step an IEP developed and implemented by the | | * | NA | NA | = | 100.00% | | | |
| | Positive social-emotional | Summary Statement 1 | NA | NA | ≥ | 92.70% | | | |
| Percent of children in ECSE who | skills: | Summary Statement 2 | NA | NA | ≥ | 55.60% | | | |
| demonstrated improved: | Acquisition and use of | Summary Statement 1 | NA NA | NA NA | ≥ ≥ | 93.80% 42.40% | | | |
| | (SPP 7) Knowledge and skills: Summary Stat | | | | | | | | |
| (==) | Use of appropriate | Summary Statement 1 | NA NA | NA NA | ≥ | 90.70% | | | |
| | behaviors to meet needs: Summary St | | | | | | | | |
| Child C | ount and Educational | Environment Data (Tab | le B) | | | | | | |
| Percent of children with IEPs inside regul | ar class at >79% of the day (SF | PP 5A) | 100.00% | Met | ≥ | 56.00% | | | |
| | ercent of children with IEPs inside regular class less than 40% of the day (SPP 5B) | | | | | | | | |
| Percent of children with IEPs served in se | | , | 0.00% | Met | ≤ | 3.70% | | | |
| Was district identified as having dispropo specific disability categories that is the re | | | No | | | | | | |
| | Assessment D | ata (Table C) | | | | | | | |
| Participation rate for children with IEPs o HS) (SPP 3B) | n statewide assessment for Con | nmunication Arts (grades 3-8, | 100.00% | Met | 2 | 95.00% | | | |
| Participation rate for children with IEPs o 3B) | n statewide assessment for Mat | hematics (grades 3-8, HS) (SPP | 100.00% | Met | 2 | 95.00% | | | |
| Proficiency rate for children with IEPs on (SPP 3C) | statewide assessment for Com | munication Arts (grades 3-8, HS) | 45.45% | Met | 2 | 23.20% | | | |
| Proficiency rate for children with IEPs on 3C) | statewide assessment for Math | ematics (grades 3-8, HS) (SPP | 63.64% | Met | 2 | 26.50% | | | |
| | Evaluation Da | ta (Table D) | | | | | | | |
| Percent of children with parental consent within 60 days (SPP 11) ** | to evaluate who were evaluated | d and had eligibility determined | 100.00% | Met | = | 100.00% | | | |
| | Parent Survey [| Data (Table E) | | | | | | | |
| Percent of parents with a child receiving a parent involvement as a means of improvement as a mean of impro | | | NA | NA | 2 | 70.00% | | | |
| | Suspension/Expuls | ion Data (Table F) | | | | | | | |
| Was district identified as having significal | nt discrepancies in suspension/e | expulsion rates? (SPP 4A) | No | | Т | | | | |
| Was district identified as having a signific race/ethnicity (SPP 4B)** | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | No | | | | | | |
| | Secondary Transiti | on Data (Table G) | | | | | | | |
| Graduation rate for students with disabilit | ies (SPP 1) | • | NA | NA | ≥ | 72.00% | | | |
| Dropout rate for students with disabilities | (SPP 2) | | NA | NA | ≤ | 4.80% | | | |
| Percent of youth age 16 and above with | an IEP that includes coordinated | | | | | | | | |
| and transition services that will reasonab 13) ** | y enable the student to meet the | e post-secondary goals (SPP | NA | NA | _ | 100.00% | | | |
| | enrolled in higher education | | NA NA | NA NA | = | 24.40% | | | |
| Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no | enrolled in higher education | competitively employed | NA NA | NA NA | ≥ | 46.90% | | | |
| longer in secondary school and who have been: (SPP 14) | enrolled in higher education or | | | | | 51.30% | | | |
| , | total employed / continuing edu | ucauon | NA | NA | ≥ | ე 1.30 | | | |

^{*} Targets for 2013-14 pending finalization of State Performance Plan

^{**} Data are collected from districts in conjunction with their Monitoring review, so data is not available for all districts every year. For districts with data, the met/not met call for compliance indicators is pending finalization of the compliance desk review of district data

Early Childhood Special Education (ECSE) Data - (Table A)

Early Childhood Special Education Child Count and Participation Rates (A1)

The following indicates the number of children who are eligible to receive early childhood special education services.

| Total Early Childhood 3-PK5 | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | State: 2013-14 | | | | | | |
| Child Count | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,448 | | | | | | |
| Participation Rate | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 5.80% | | | | | | |

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core (December cycle) and 2010 census data

Participation Rate = Child Count / Census

Early Childhood Special Education Educational Environments (ages 3-PK5) (SPP 6) (A2)

The following indicates the educational environment of children receiving early childhood special education services.

| <u> </u> | | | | | , | • | | |
|---|----------------|---------|-------|---------|-------|------|-------|---------|
| | | | | | | | | State |
| Educational Environments | | 2011-12 | | 2012-13 | | 2013 | 3-14 | 2013-14 |
| | | # | % | # | % | # | % | % |
| In the regular early childhood | orogram: | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 58.09% |
| ♦ 10+ hours with majority of | EC Program* | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 25.03% |
| sped services in: | Other Location | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 23.10% |
| ♦ less than 10 hours with | EC Program* | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 4.17% |
| majority of sped services in: | Other Location | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 5.78% |
| Separate Class** | | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 30.08% |
| Separate School** | | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 1.47% |
| Residential Facility** | | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Home | | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 1.12% |
| Service Provider location | | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 9.25% |
| Total Early Childhood | | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Total attending and receiving majority of | | | | | | | | |
| services in early childhood program* (SPP 6A) | | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 29.20% |
| Total separate placement** (S | PP 6B) | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 31.54% |

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core (December cycle)

Transition from First Steps (Part C) (SPP 12) (A3)

For children referred from the First Steps program, districts are required to develop and implement an IEP by the third birthday. The following data shows the percent of children referred by First Steps prior to age 3, who were found eligible for ECSE, and who had an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays

| Reporting Year | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
|---|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Number referred and eligible | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| IEPs developed within acceptable timelines | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Percent developed within acceptable timelines | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| State % developed within acceptable timelines | 98.58% | 99.46% | 95.90% | 94.20% | 98.84% |

Source: Data are collected from districts in the year prior to monitoring review

Percentage = Educational Environment / Total Early Childhood

^{*}Total attending includes children in an early childhood program and receiving the majority of their sped services in the EC program

^{**} Total separate includes children reported in Separate Class, Separate School and Residential Facility.

Early Childhood Special Education (ECSE) Data - (Table A)

Early Childhood Outcome Data (SPP 7) (A4)


Districts are required to assess children's abilities when they enter and exit ECSE. The following table indicates the progress, or outcome, made between entering and exiting ECSE for children who exited ECSE during the reporting year.

| Outcomes: | Social | Social Emotional | | | uiring and L | | Taking Appropriate | | |
|---|--------|------------------|---------|------|---------------|---------|--------------------|-------|---------|
| 2013-2014 School Year | | | | Knov | Knowledge and | | Meet Need | | is |
| Outcomes: | | | State | | | State | | | State |
| Percent of children who | # | % | % | # | % | % | # | % | % |
| a. did not improve functioning | 0 | NA | 1.35% | 0 | NA | 1.98% | 0 | NA | 1.47% |
| b. improved functioning but not | | | | | | | | | |
| sufficient to move nearer to functioning | | | | | | | | | |
| comparable to same-age peers | 0 | NA | 3.59% | 0 | NA | 2.16% | 0 | NA | 3.57% |
| c. improved functioning to a level nearer | | | | | | | | | |
| to same-aged peers but did not reach | 0 | NA | 46.96% | 0 | NA | 55.34% | 0 | NA | 38.17% |
| d. improved functioning to reach a level | | | | | | | | | |
| comparable to same-aged peers | 0 | NA | 28.11% | 0 | NA | 32.05% | 0 | NA | 34.11% |
| e. maintained functioning at a level | | | | | | | | | |
| comparable to same-aged peers | 0 | NA | 19.99% | 0 | NA | 8.47% | 0 | NA | 22.68% |
| Total: | 0 | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 100.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Summary Statements | | | | | | | | | |
| Of those children who entered the | | | | | | | | | |
| program below age expectation, the | | | | | | | | | |
| percent that substantially increased | | | | | | | | | |
| their rate of growth by the time they | | | | | | | | | |
| exited. | | NA | 93.83% | | NA | 95.48% | | NA | 93.48% |
| 2. Percent of children who were | | | | | | | | | |
| functioning within age expectations by | | | | | | | | | |
| the time they exited. | | NA | 48.10% | | NA | 40.51% | | NA | 56.79% |

Summary Calculations: 1. ((c+d)/(a+b+c+d))*100 2. ((d+e)/(a+b+c+d+e))*100

Source: MOSIS Student Core (June cycle)

Note: Excludes children who transferred districts (district totals) and children in ECSE less than 6 months



SS1=Summary Statement 1 (see above) SS2=Summary Statement 2 (see above)

Child Count and Educational Environment Data - (Table B)


Child Count (5K-21) and Parentally-Placed Private School Students (PPPS) (B1)

The following table indicates the number and incidence rate of students with disabilities by disability category

| | | | Incidence Rate | State |
|------------------------------------|-------|------|----------------|---------|
| Disability Category | Total | PPPS | 2013-14 | 2013-14 |
| Intellectual Disability | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 1.11% |
| Emotional Disturbance | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.72% |
| Speech Impairment | 26 | 0 | 3.13% | 2.13% |
| Language Impairment | 1 | 0 | 0.12% | 1.14% |
| Orthopedic Impairment | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.06% |
| Visual Impairment | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.05% |
| Hearing Impairment | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.13% |
| Specific Learning Disabilities | 15 | 0 | 1.81% | 3.40% |
| Other Health Impairment | 3 | 0 | 0.36% | 2.38% |
| Deaf/Blindness | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Multiple Disabilities | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.16% |
| Autism | 1 | 0 | 0.12% | 1.01% |
| Traumatic Brain Injury | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.05% |
| Young Child w/ Developmental Delay | 0 | 0 | 0.00% | 0.28% |
| Total | 46 | 0 | 5.54% | 12.62% |

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core (December cycle) Child Count data is as of December 1

Incidence rate = Total 5K-21 Child Count / K-12 district enrollment



Percent of Students by Race/Ethnicity (SPP 9/10) (B2)

The following table indicates the percentage of students by race for total district enrollment, special education child count and disability categories

| School Year: 2013-14 | White % | Black % | Hispanic % | Asian % | Indian % | PacificI% | Multi% | Total % |
|----------------------------------|---------|---------|------------|---------|----------|-----------|--------|---------|
| Total District Enrollment (K-12) | 64.46% | 21.33% | 5.42% | 3.25% | 0.24% | 0.00% | 5.30% | 100.00% |
| Total IEP Child Count (3-21) | 65.22% | 23.91% | 8.70% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 2.17% | 100.00% |
| Intellectual Disability | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Emotional Disturbance | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Speech/Language Impairment | 80.00% | 20.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Specific Learning Disability | 53.33% | 26.67% | 13.33% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 6.67% | 100.00% |
| Other Health Impairment | 33.33% | 66.67% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% |
| Autism | 100.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 0.00% | 100.00% |

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core (December cycle) Child Count data is as of December 1

Child Count and Educational Environment Data - (Table B)


School-age Educational Environments (SPP 5) (B3)


The following table indicates the amount of time that students with disabilities are included in the general education classroom.

| | | | | | | | State |
|---|-------|---------|-----------|---------|-------|---------|-----------|
| | 2011- | -2012 | 2012-2013 | | 2013- | -2014 | 2013-2014 |
| Placement Categories | # | % | # | % | # | % | % |
| Inside Regular Class >79% (SPP 5A) | 34 | 100.00% | 39 | 100.00% | 46 | 100.00% | 59.03% |
| Inside Regular Class 40-79% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 25.98% |
| Inside Regular Class <40% (SPP 5B) | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 9.11% |
| Private Separate (Day) Facility* | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.68% |
| Public Separate (Day) Facility* | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 1.31% |
| Homebound/Hospital* | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.63% |
| Private Residential Facility* | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.00% |
| Correctional Facility | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0.38% |
| Parentally Placed Private School | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 1.99% |
| State Operated Separate School [^] | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0.90% |
| Total School Age | 34 | 100.00% | 39 | 100.00% | 46 | 100.00% | 100.00% |
| Total of Separate Placements* (SPP 5C) | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 0 | 0.00% | 3.52% |

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core (December cycle)

[^]This category is only used by Missouri School for the Blind, Missouri School for the Deaf and Missouri Schools for the Severely Disabled





^{*&}quot;Total Separate" includes: Private/Public Separate Facilities; Homebound/Hospital; Private Residential Facilities and State Operated School

Student Assessment Data - (Table C)

District Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) for Students with Disabilities (SPP 3A)

Student assessment data is evaluated for AMO purposes for a specific subgroups of students, one of which is students with disabilities. For district AMO status, refer to the Missouri Comprehensive Data System (MCDS) Portal at: http://mcds.dese.mo.gov/guidedinquiry/Pages/State-Assessment.aspx

Missouri Assessment Program (IEP MAP and MAP-Alternate) (SPP 3B and 3C)

The following table indicates statewide assessment results for students with disabilities

| Top Two Rate Top Two Percent SPP 3D (SPP 3C) Top Two Percent SPP 3D (SPP 3C) Top Two SPP 3C Top Two | | | | | tewide ass | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------------|------|------|---------|------------|----------|----------|-------------|-------|---------|------------|----------|---------|--|
| SPP 3B (SPP 3C) Top Two (SPP 3B) (SPP 3C) Top Two Top Two T | Grade | Acct | Rept | | Part. | Percent | State | Acct | Rept | Number | | | State | |
| Communication Arts | | | | Top Two | | | | | | Top Two | | | | |
| Communication Arts | | | | | (SPP 3B) | (SPP 3C) | Top Two | | | | (SPP 3B) | (SPP 3C) | Top Two | |
| 3 | | | | | | 2013-14 | - IEP MA | P and M | IAP-A | | | | | |
| 4 | | | | Commu | nication A | rts | | Mathematics | | | | | | |
| 4 | 3 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 100.0% | 57.1% | 28.0% | 7 | 7 | 5 | 100.0% | 71.4% | 32.7% | |
| 6 | 4 | 12 | 12 | 6 | 100.0% | | | 12 | 12 | 6 | 100.0% | 50.0% | 31.6% | |
| 7 9 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 22.1% 9 9 6 100.0% 66.7% 28.1% 8 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 22.4% 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 20.8% HS 0 0 0 NA NA NA 28.8% 0 0 0 NA NA NA 26.8% 3-5 24 24 13 100.0% 54.2% 28.0% 24 24 14 100.0% 58.3% 31.2% 6-8 20 20 7 100.0% 35.0% 22.4% 20 20 14 100.0% 63.6% 25.9% All 44 44 20 100.0% 45.5% 25.8% 44 44 28 100.0% 63.6% 28.4% 20 20 14 100.0% 57.1% 28.1% 7 7 7 4 100.0% 57.1% 33.3% 22.2% 55 7 7 4 100.0% 57.1% 28.1% 7 7 7 100.0% 100.0% 33.3% 22.2% 50 10 100.0% 60.7% 28.6% 7 3 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 24.0% 3 3 1 100.0% 66.7% 28.6% 7 3 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.9% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 22.1% HS 0 0 0 NA NA NA 38.8% 0 0 0 NA NA NA 26.9% 3-5 17 17 17 10 100.0% 58.8% 28.6% 17 17 17 12 100.0% 66.7% 22.1% All 32 32 16 100.0% 50.0% 27.3% 32 32 100.0% 66.7% 22.1% 4 8 8 5 100.0% 62.5% 30.8% 8 8 5 100.0% 66.5% 29.7% 2011-12 - IEP MAP and MAP-A 22.3% 4 8 8 5 100.0% 62.5% 30.8% 8 8 5 100.0% 66.5% 30.8% 8 5 100.0% 60.0% 25.9% 25.4% 18 0 0 0 NA NA NA 21.1% 0 0 0 NA NA NA 22.5% 15 15 9 100.0% 66.5% 30.5% 15 15 9 100.0% 60.0% 25.4% 18 0 0 0 NA NA NA 21.1% 0 0 0 NA NA NA 22.3% 15 100.0% 60.0% 25.4% 18 0 0 0 NA NA NA 22.3% 15 100.0% 60.0% 25.4% 18 0 0 0 NA NA NA 22.3% 15 100.0% 60.0% 25.4% 18 0 0 0 NA NA NA 21.1% 0 0 0 NA NA NA 22.3% 15 100.0% 60.0% 25.5% 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 80.0% 25.2% 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 80.0% 25.2% 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 80.0% 25.2% 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 80.0% 25.2% 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 80.0% 25.2% 25 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 100.0% | 60.0% | 26.7% | 5 | 5 | 3 | 100.0% | 60.0% | 29.3% | |
| R | 6 | 8 | 8 | 2 | 100.0% | 25.0% | 22.6% | 8 | 8 | 7 | 100.0% | 87.5% | 28.2% | |
| HS | 7 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 100.0% | 44.4% | 22.1% | 9 | 9 | 6 | 100.0% | 66.7% | 28.1% | |
| 3-5 | 8 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 100.0% | 33.3% | 22.4% | 3 | 3 | 1 | 100.0% | 33.3% | 20.8% | |
| Communication Arts | HS | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 29.3% | 0 | 0 | 0 | NA | NA | 26.8% | |
| All 44 44 20 100.0% 45.5% 25.8% 44 44 28 100.0% 63.6% 28.4% 2012-13 - IEP MAP and MAP-A | 3-5 | | 24 | 13 | 100.0% | 54.2% | 28.0% | 24 | 24 | 14 | 100.0% | 58.3% | 31.2% | |
| Communication Arts | | | | | | | | | | | | | 25.9% | |
| Communication Arts | All | 44 | 44 | 20 | 100.0% | 45.5% | 25.8% | 44 | 44 | 28 | 100.0% | 63.6% | 28.4% | |
| 3 | 2012-13 - IEP N | | | | | | | | IAP-A | | | | | |
| 4 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 29.5% 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 32.2% 5 7 7 4 100.0% 57.1% 28.1% 7 7 7 100.0% 100.0% 31.7% 6 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 23.4% 9 9 6 100.0% 66.7% 28.6% 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 24.0% 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 29.7% 8 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.9% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 22.1% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 36.8% 0 0 NA NA 26.9% 3-5 17 17 10 100.0% 58.8% 28.6% 17 17 12 100.0% 70.6% 33.2% 6- | | | | Commu | nication A | rts | | | | | | | | |
| 5 7 7 4 100.0% 57.1% 28.1% 7 7 7 100.0% 100.0% 31.7% 6 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 23.4% 9 9 6 100.0% 66.7% 28.6% 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 24.0% 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 29.7% 8 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.9% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 22.1% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 36.8% 0 0 0 NA NA 26.9% 3-5 17 17 10 100.0% 58.8% 28.6% 17 17 12 100.0% 70.6% 33.2% 6-8 15 15 6 100.0% 50.0% 27.3% 32 32 21 100.0% 66.7% 34.5% <td>3</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>4</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>57.1%</td> <td>28.1%</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>4</td> <td>100.0%</td> <td>57.1%</td> <td>35.6%</td> | 3 | 7 | 7 | 4 | 100.0% | 57.1% | 28.1% | 7 | 7 | 4 | 100.0% | 57.1% | 35.6% | |
| 6 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 23.4% 9 9 6 100.0% 66.7% 28.6% 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 24.0% 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 29.7% 8 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.9% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 22.1% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 36.8% 0 0 0 NA NA 26.9% 3-5 17 17 10 100.0% 58.8% 28.6% 17 17 12 100.0% 70.6% 33.2% 6-8 15 15 6 100.0% 40.0% 23.2% 15 15 9 100.0% 60.0% 26.9% Communication Arts Mathematics 3 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 28.5% 3 < | 4 | | | 2 | | 66.7% | 29.5% | 3 | | 1 | 100.0% | 33.3% | 32.2% | |
| 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 24.0% 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 29.7% 8 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.9% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 22.1% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 36.8% 0 0 0 NA NA 26.9% 3-5 17 17 10 100.0% 58.8% 28.6% 17 17 12 100.0% 70.6% 33.2% 6-8 15 15 6 100.0% 40.0% 23.2% 15 15 9 100.0% 60.0% 26.9% Z011-12 - IEP MAP and MAP-A Z011-12 - IEP MAP | | | | 4 | | | | | | 7 | | | 31.7% | |
| 8 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.9% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 22.1% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 36.8% 0 0 0 NA NA 26.9% 3-5 17 17 10 100.0% 58.8% 28.6% 17 17 12 100.0% 70.6% 33.2% 6-8 15 15 6 100.0% 40.0% 23.2% 15 15 9 100.0% 60.0% 26.9% Communication Arts Mathematics 2011-12 - IEP MAP and MAP-A Communication Arts Mathematics 3 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 28.5% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 34.5% 4 8 8 5 100.0% 34.4% 28.0% 9 9 4 100.0% 62.5% 33.6% | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | 28.6% | |
| HS 0 0 0 NA NA 36.8% 0 0 0 NA NA 26.9% 3-5 17 17 10 100.0% 58.8% 28.6% 17 17 12 100.0% 70.6% 33.2% 6-8 15 15 6 100.0% 40.0% 23.2% 15 15 9 100.0% 60.0% 26.9% All 32 32 16 100.0% 50.0% 27.3% 32 32 21 100.0% 65.6% 29.7% 2011-12 - IEP MAP and MAP-A | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | 29.7% | |
| 3-5 | | | | - | | | | | | | | | 22.1% | |
| 6-8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All 32 32 16 100.0% 50.0% 27.3% 32 32 21 100.0% 65.6% 29.7% | | | | 10 | | | | | | | | | 33.2% | |
| Communication Arts Mathematics | | | | | | | | | | | | | 26.9% | |
| Communication Arts Mathematics 3 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 28.5% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 34.5% 4 8 8 5 100.0% 62.5% 30.8% 8 8 5 100.0% 62.5% 33.6% 5 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 28.0% 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 31.6% 6 2 2 2 1 100.0% 50.0% 30.5% 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.7% 3 3 100.0% 100.0% 25.4% 8 0 0 0 NA NA 21.1% 0 0 NA NA 22.3% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 34.3% 0 0 NA NA 22.3% HS 0 0 0 < | All | 32 | 32 | 16 | 100.0% | 50.0% | 27.3% | 32 | 32 | 21 | 100.0% | 65.6% | 29.7% | |
| 3 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 28.5% 3 3 2 100.0% 66.7% 34.5% 4 8 8 5 100.0% 62.5% 30.8% 8 8 5 100.0% 62.5% 33.6% 5 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 28.0% 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 31.6% 6 2 2 2 100.0% 100.0% 23.6% 2 2 1 100.0% 50.0% 30.5% 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.7% 3 3 100.0% 100.0% 25.4% 8 0 0 0 NA NA 21.1% 0 0 NA NA 22.3% HS 0 0 NA NA 34.3% 0 0 NA NA 27.2% 3-5 20 20 10 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>2011-12</td><td>- IEP MA</td><td>P and M</td><td>IAP-A</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<> | | | | | | 2011-12 | - IEP MA | P and M | IAP-A | | | | | |
| 4 8 8 5 100.0% 62.5% 30.8% 8 8 5 100.0% 62.5% 33.6% 5 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 28.0% 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 31.6% 6 2 2 2 100.0% 100.0% 23.6% 2 2 1 100.0% 50.0% 30.5% 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.7% 3 3 3 100.0% 100.0% 25.4% 8 0 0 0 NA NA 21.1% 0 0 NA NA 22.3% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 34.3% 0 0 NA NA 27.2% 3-5 20 20 10 100.0% 50.0% 29.1% 20 20 11 100.0% 55.0% 33.2% 6-8 5 | | | | Commu | | | | | | Ma | athematics | | | |
| 5 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 28.0% 9 9 4 100.0% 44.4% 31.6% 6 2 2 2 100.0% 100.0% 23.6% 2 2 1 100.0% 50.0% 30.5% 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.7% 3 3 100.0% 100.0% 25.4% 8 0 0 0 NA NA 21.1% 0 0 NA NA 22.3% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 34.3% 0 0 NA NA 27.2% 3-5 20 20 10 100.0% 50.0% 29.1% 20 20 11 100.0% 55.0% 33.2% 6-8 5 5 3 100.0% 60.0% 22.2% 5 5 4 100.0% 60.0% 26.2% All 25 25 | 3 | | | 1 | 100.0% | | | | | | 100.0% | 66.7% | 34.5% | |
| 6 2 2 2 100.0% 100.0% 23.6% 2 2 1 100.0% 50.0% 30.5% 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.7% 3 3 100.0% 100.0% 25.4% 8 0 0 0 NA NA 21.1% 0 0 0 NA NA 22.3% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 34.3% 0 0 NA NA 27.2% 3-5 20 20 10 100.0% 50.0% 29.1% 20 20 11 100.0% 55.0% 33.2% 6-8 5 5 3 100.0% 60.0% 22.2% 5 5 4 100.0% 80.0% 26.2% All 25 25 13 100.0% 52.0% 26.9% 25 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 29.5% | | | | 5 | | | | | | 5 | | | 33.6% | |
| 7 3 3 1 100.0% 33.3% 21.7% 3 3 100.0% 100.0% 25.4% 8 0 0 0 NA NA 21.1% 0 0 0 NA NA 22.3% HS 0 0 0 NA NA 34.3% 0 0 0 NA NA 27.2% 3-5 20 20 10 100.0% 50.0% 29.1% 20 20 11 100.0% 55.0% 33.2% 6-8 5 5 3 100.0% 60.0% 22.2% 5 5 4 100.0% 80.0% 26.2% All 25 25 13 100.0% 52.0% 26.9% 25 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 29.5% | | | | | | | | | | 4 | | | 31.6% | |
| 8 0 0 0 NA NA 21.1% 0 0 0 NA NA 22.3% HS 0 0 0 0 0 NA NA 22.3% 3-5 20 20 10 100.0% 50.0% 29.1% 20 20 11 100.0% 55.0% 33.2% 6-8 5 5 3 100.0% 60.0% 22.2% 5 5 4 100.0% 80.0% 26.2% All 25 25 13 100.0% 52.0% 26.9% 25 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 29.5% | \vdash | | | | | | | | | - | | | 30.5% | |
| HS 0 0 0 NA NA 34.3% 0 0 0 NA NA 27.2% 3-5 20 20 10 100.0% 50.0% 29.1% 20 20 11 100.0% 55.0% 33.2% 6-8 5 5 3 100.0% 60.0% 22.2% 5 5 4 100.0% 80.0% 26.2% All 25 25 13 100.0% 52.0% 26.9% 25 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 29.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 3-5 20 20 10 100.0% 50.0% 29.1% 20 20 11 100.0% 55.0% 33.2% 6-8 5 5 3 100.0% 60.0% 22.2% 5 5 4 100.0% 80.0% 26.2% All 25 25 13 100.0% 52.0% 26.9% 25 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 29.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | 22.3% | |
| 6-8 5 5 3 100.0% 60.0% 22.2% 5 5 4 100.0% 80.0% 26.2% All 25 25 13 100.0% 52.0% 26.9% 25 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 29.5% | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| All 25 25 13 100.0% 52.0% 26.9% 25 25 15 100.0% 60.0% 29.5% | | | | | | | | | | 11 | | | 33.2% | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | 26.2% | |
| | | | | | | | 26.9% | 25 | 25 | 15 | 100.0% | 60.0% | 29.5% | |

Source: MAP Assessment - includes MAP and MAP-A results

Acct = Accountable; Rept = Reportable; Number Top Two = Proficient + Advanced


Participation Rate (Part Rate) = Reportable / Accountable


Proficient or Advanced Percent (Percent Top Two) = (Number of Proficient + Number of Advanced) / Reportable


HS: Beginning in 2009, high school totals include required End of Course exams (English II and Algebra I) and alternate assessments


Version: September 2014 Page 6 Printed: 9/23/2014

Student Assessment Data - (Table C)









HS: Beginning in 2009, high school totals include required End of Course exams (English II and Algebra I) and alternate assessments

Evaluation, Parent Involvement and Suspension/Expulsion Data - (Tables D, E, and F)

Initial Evaluation Timelines (SPP 11) (Table D)

Districts are required to complete initial evaluations and determine eligibility within 60 days from referral to special education. The following table reflects the percent of children who, with parental consent, had eligibility for special education determined within 60 days.

| Reporting Year | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
|-------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Number evaluated | NA | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Number within acceptable timelines | NA | NA | NA | NA | 14 |
| Percent within acceptable timelines | NA | NA | NA | NA | 100.00% |
| State % within acceptable timelines | 96.76% | 97.75% | 97.85% | 97.42% | 98.97% |

Note: Data collected from districts in year prior to monitoring review

Parent Survey Data (SPP 8) (Table E)

Parents are surveyed about their level of involvement with their children's education. The following table indicates the percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who reported that schools encouraged parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities.

| Reporting Year | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
|------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Responses | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Number Agree/Strongly Agree | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| % Agree/Strongly Agree | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| State % Agree/Strongly Agree | 69.25% | 71.39% | 77.76% | 77.55% | 74.52% |

Source: MSIP Parent Advance Questionnaire (through 2010-11) and/or special education parent survey

Note: Data collected from districts in conjunction with their monitoring review

Suspension/Expulsion Data (SPP 4A / 4B) (Table F)

| | Stude | nts with Disa | abilities | Non [| Disabled Stu | dents | District | State |
|-------------------|----------|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------------|----------------|----------|----------|
| School Year | District | | State | District | | State Ratio of | | Ratio of |
| 2013-2014 | | Rate per | Rate per | | Rate per | Rate per | IEP : | IEP : |
| 2013-2014 | | 100 | 100 | | 100 | 100 | NonIEP | NonIEP |
| | Number | students | students | Number | students | students | rate | rate |
| Student Counts | | | | | | | | |
| OSS - All | 0 | 0.00 | | | 0.77 | 4.7 | 0.00 | |
| OSS > 10 Days | 0 | 0.00 | | 1 | 0.13 | | 0.00 | |
| ISS - All | 0 | 0.00 | | 0 | 0.00 | | NA | |
| ISS > 10 Days | 0 | 0.00 | 1.2 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.6 | NA | 2.09 |
| Total OSS and ISS | 0 | 0.0 | 22.0 | 6 | 0.77 | 13.1 | 0.00 | 1.68 |
| Incident Counts | | | | | | | | |
| OSS - All | 0 | 0.00 | 18.2 | 8 | 1.02 | 8.1 | 0.00 | 2.26 |
| OSS > 10 Days | 0 | 0.00 | 1.7 | 1 | 0.13 | 8.0 | 0.00 | 2.09 |
| American Indian | 0 | 0.00 | 0.7 | | | | 0.00 | 0.82 |
| Asian | 0 | 0.00 | 0.4 | N1.1. 11. | | | 0.00 | 0.49 |
| Black | 0 | 0.00 | 5.2 | | e ratios for th | | 0.00 | 6.40 |
| Hispanic | 0 | 0.00 | 1.0 | Disabled OS | roups use th | | 0.00 | 1.18 |
| Multi Racial | 0 | 0.00 | 1.9 | | comparison | | 0.00 | 2.34 |
| Pacific Islander | 0 | 0.00 | 0.8 | as tric | Companson | group | 0.00 | 0.94 |
| White | 0 | 0.00 | 0.9 | | | 0.00 | 1.07 | |
| ISS - All | 0 | 0.00 | 31.4 | 0 | 0.00 | 18.4 | NA | 1.71 |
| ISS > 10 Days | 0 | 0.00 | 1.3 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.6 | NA | 2.11 |
| Total OSS and ISS | 0 | 0.00 | 49.6 | 8 | 1.02 | 26.5 | 0.00 | 1.88 |

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Discipline and MOSIS Student Core.

Version: September 2014 Page 8 Printed: 9/23/2014

[#] is the number of students or incidents reported; rate is the rate per 100 students based on total enrollment and 3-21 child count excluding PPPS ISS All = Any incident resulting in an in-school suspension

ISS > 10 days = Any incident resulting in an in-school suspension for more than 10 consecutive or cumulative days

OSS All = Any incident resulting in an out of school suspension

OSS >10 days = Any incident resulting in an out of school suspension for more than 10 consecutive or cumulative days

OSS includes out of school suspensions, expulsions or unilateral removals

Secondary Transition Data - (Table G)

Graduation / Dropout Data for Students with Disabilities (SPP 1, 2) (G1)

The following tables indicate the numbers and percents of students with disabilities who graduate or drop out from school

| Graduation data (SPP1) | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | State 2013-14 |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------------|
| Total Number of IEP Students Graduated | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,420 |

Totals exclude students reported with a spedexit reason of 01-Return to regular ed and 17-Parent withdrew

| Graduation Cohort data / rates | | 4yr Rate | | 5yr Rate | | 6yr Rate | | 7yr Rate | |
|--------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|
| Graduation Conort data / rates | District | State | District | State | District | State | District | State | |
| 2014 Cohort | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | 2017 | | |
| Total Cohort Graduates | 0 | 5,789 | | | | | | | |
| Total Cohort | 0 | 7,376 | | | | | | | |
| Graduation Rate | NA | 78.48% | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA | |
| 2013 Cohort | 20 | 13 | 2014 | | 2015 | | 2016 | | |
| Total Cohort Graduates | 0 | 5,841 | 0 | 6,236 | | | | | |
| Total Cohort | 0 | 7,660 | 0 | 7,628 | | | | | |
| Graduation Rate | NA | 76.25% | NA | 81.75% | NA | NA | NA | NA | |
| 2012 Cohort | 20 | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2014 | | 2015 | |
| Total Cohort Graduates | 0 | 5,901 | 0 | 6,372 | 0 | 6,556 | | | |
| Total Cohort | 0 | 8,083 | 0 | 7,985 | 0 | 8,064 | | | |
| Graduation Rate | NA | 73.01% | NA | 79.80% | NA | 81.30% | NA | NA | |
| 2011 Cohort | 2011 | | 2012 | | 2013 | | 2014 | | |
| Total Cohort Graduates | 0 | 6,816 | 0 | 7,342 | 0 | 7,548 | 0 | 7,704 | |
| Total Cohort | 0 | 9,930 | 0 | 9,802 | 0 | 9,734 | 0 | 9,674 | |
| Graduation Rate | NA | 68.64% | NA | 74.90% | NA | 77.54% | NA | 79.64% | |


Graduation rate = Number of IEP Graduates in cohort / Total number of IEP students in cohort x 100


| Dropout data (SPP 2) | | | | State |
|--|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| (grades 9-12) | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 | 2013-14 |
| Total students with disabilities grades 9-12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39,217 |
| Number of students with disabilities who dropout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,475 |
| Dropout rate for students with disabilities | NA | NA | NA | 3.76% |

Source: District reported data via MOSIS Student Core (June cycle) and MOSIS Enrollment and Attendance

Dropout rate = Number of IEP dropouts in grades 9-12 / Total number of IEP students in grades 9-12

NA - Elementary districts do not report their high school students, therefore will not have a graduation or dropout rate.





Secondary Transition Data - (Table G)

Secondary Transition Plans (SPP 13) (G2)

IEPs must include coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet their post-secondary goals. The following data show the percent of youth age 16 and above with a transition plan that meets these requirements as determined by file review of a sample of IEPs

| Reporting Year | 2009-10 | 2010-11 | 2011-12 | 2012-13 | 2013-14 |
|----------------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| Total Reviewed | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Number Met | NA | NA | NA | NA | 0 |
| Percent Met | NA | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| State | 91.31% | 79.96% | 82.35% | 87.53% | 88.63% |

Note: Data collected from districts in year prior to monitoring review

Follow-up on Previous Year's Graduates and Dropouts (IEP) (SPP 14) (G3)

Districts are required to follow-up for special education graduates and dropouts from the previous year. The following

| Follow-up reported during the 2013-2014 School Year | | 12-13 Graduates | | 12-13 Dropouts | | Total | | State |
|--|-----------------------------|-----------------|----|----------------|----|-------|----|--------|
| | | # | % | # | % | # | % | % |
| (1) 2 YR College | completed at least | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 21.1% |
| (2) 4 YR College | completed at least one term | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 8.0% |
| (3) Non College | one term | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 3.1% |
| (4) Employed (Competitively) | at least 20 hrs per | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 24.7% |
| (5) Employed (Not Competitively) | week for 90 days | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 1.8% |
| (6) Military | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 1.8% |
| (7) Other | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 14.9% |
| (8) Continuing Education - did not complete one term | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 3.8% |
| (9) Employed - less 20 hrs per week or 90 days | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 5.3% |
| (10) Unknown | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 15.4% |
| (11) Not Available | | 0 | | 0 | | 0 | | |
| Total (excludes Not Available) | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 100.0% |
| | | | | | | | | |
| A. Enrolled in higher education* | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 29.1% |
| B. Enrolled in higher education or competitively | | | | | | | | |
| employed* | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 55.6% |
| C. Total Employed / continuing Education* | | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 0 | NA | 60.6% |

Source: District reported data via MOSIS February Follow-up

*Summary Calculations

A. Enrolled in higher education for at least one complete term [(1) + (2)]

B. Enrolled in higher education at least 1 complete term or competitively employed 20 hrs a week for at least 90 days [(1) + (2) + (4) + (6)]

C. Enrolled in higher education or other postsecondary education or training program for at least one complete term or competitively employed or in some other employment for 20 hours a week for at least 90 days [(1) + (2) + (3) + (4) + (5) + (6)]

